



Session 2

Common Record: CommonLine Implementation for FFEL and Alternative Loan Schools

Kim Shiflette, USA Funds

Bob King, Citibank



Session Objectives

■ Overview

- Convergence/Benefits
- Business Requirements
- Key Differences from CommonLine

■ CR:C Process

- Loan Request
- Change Request
- Response
- Disbursement

■ What's Next - Steps and Timing



CR:C Defined

- Common Record: CommonLine (CR:C)

The new XML-based electronic data exchange standard for FFELP and alternative loan origination and disbursement processing



Convergence – Historical Perspective

- FFELP was pursuing implementation of CommonLine 5.0 - Flat and XML
- At the same time SIS and FAMS vendors were implementing FSA's new COD Common Record XML requirements
- Late 2001, began to consider the benefits of converging CommonLine and Common Record
- Fall 2002, convergence proposal approved by the Electronics Standards Committee (ESC)

Convergence – Efforts

- The FFEL community, through the ESC and PESC, invested heavily in the convergence effort over the past year
- Created a Common Data Dictionary across higher education
- Developed similar XML schemas
- Similar processing concepts where appropriate and possible

Data Dictionary

Defines names and characteristics of data to ensure common understanding

1	COD Data Field	Min Length	Max Length	Data Type	Field Type	Format and Valid Field Values	Element Requirements for Various Business Processes							
							COD	ME	AN	LC	CL	IS	AR	K12
	<LastName> LastName: This element indicates the person's last name.	1	35	String	Simple Element	0 to 9; Uppercase A to Z; Space(s); (period); '(apostrophe); -(dash)	X	X	X	X	X			

XML Schema

In ordinary English, definitions related to Schema include:

- A diagrammatic presentation
- The disposition of constituents in a pattern or according to a scheme
- A scheme or systematic arrangement

In XML terms it describes and constrains the content and sequence of content of XML documents



Benefits of XML and CR:C

- Allows schools to use one Record structure between disparate databases or different systems - COD, CL, Meteor, Transcripts, etc.
- Streamlines the automation of Application and Disbursement Processes
- Converts Change Processes from Transaction-based to End Result-based
- XML is Human Readable

Benefits – cont.

- Common Record: CommonLine can support **batch** and **real-time** data exchange
- CR:C's XML record structure is **flexible**
- XML let's you send **only the data needed** for the process being performed
- CR:C is designed to meet the **needs** of the **Schools** and **FAMS Vendors**



Business Requirements

- Maintain current CommonLine 5 functionality
- Maintain flexibility of FFELP processing for our School customers
- Emulate CR:COD where applicable - structure, process and naming convention
- Create a cross-industry data dictionary



Collaboration

- Reengineering required highly cooperative collaboration between organizations
 - **NCHELP Electronic Standards Committee**
 - Responsible for the creation and maintenance of standards for the electronic exchange of information for FFELP and alternative loans
 - Diverse industry representation
 - **Post-Secondary Electronic Standards Council (PESC)**
 - Serves as an umbrella organization for all wishing to support electronic standards in higher education
 - **Department of Education FSA**



Key Differences

- New formats, new names
 - Loan Period Begin and End dates are now referred to as **<FinancialAwardBeginDate>** and **<FinancialAwardEndDate>**
 - Words are used when possible to represent information. For example, US Citizen value is now “USCitizen”
- One student - multiple requests
- No trailer or reconciliation at the document level
- Records sorted by attending school
- All “Requests” can be sent in the same document
- Supports School Assigned ID - student/borrower



CR:C Structure

Record Structure - building block

- Document (header info)
 - Attended School (students are grouped by school)
 - Student (personal data - name, ssn, address, etc.)
 - Loan (application data - loan period, grade level, etc.)
 - Award (loan data - certified amounts and dates, person information for borrowers who are not the student, co-signers, etc.)
 - Disbursement (disbursement information)

CR COD Document Structure

Common Record

Document Information

Entity Information

Student Information

Loan Information

Award Information

Disbursement #1
Information

Disbursement #2
Information



CR CommonLine Document Structure

Common Record

Document Information

Entity Information

Student Information

Loan Information

Award Information

Disbursement #1
Information

Disbursement #2
Information



CR:C XML Structure

```
<CommonRecord>  
  <DocumentInformation></DocumentInformation>  
  <AttendedSchool>  
    <Student>  
      <Loan></Loan>  
      <Award></Award>  
      <Disbursement><Disbursement>  
    </Student>  
  </AttendedSchool>  
</CommonRecord>
```




CR:C Supported Processes

- Loan Requests
- Loan Reprint Requests
- Loan Termination Requests
- Loan Certification Requests
- Pre-guarantee Correction Requests
- Post-guarantee Change Requests



CR:C Supported Processes

- Change Requests
 - Student Borrower Changes
 - Address Change
 - Phone Change
 - E-mail Address Change
 - Loan Changes
 - Student Level Code Change
 - Financial Award Period Change
 - Graduation Date Change
 - Guarantee Increase
 - Loan Reduction



CR:C Supported Processes

- Change Requests (cont)
 - Pre-disbursement Changes
 - Disbursement Date Change
 - Full Disbursement Cancellation
 - Partial Disbursement Cancellation
 - Full/Partial Disbursement Increase and/or Reinstatement
 - Add a disbursement
 - Hold and Release Change
 - Combination Changes
 - Loan Reallocation with Post-disbursement Cancellation
 - Loan Reallocation with Loan Increase
 - Guarantee Increase and Disbursement Addition or Disbursement Date Change



CR:C Supported Processes

- Change Requests (cont)
 - Post-disbursement Changes
 - Full Disbursement Reissue
 - Partial Disbursement Reissue
 - Full Disbursement Cancellation
 - Partial Disbursement Cancellation
 - Full or Partial Disbursement Reinstatement
 - Post-withdrawal Return of Funds
 - Post-withdrawal Return of Funds Correction
- Responses to Requests
 - Snapshot
 - Full
 - Standard

CR:C Loan Request Process

Same Business Process - New Structure

- All requests are combined in one record
 - no more @1, @4, @7, @8 records, etc.
 - No more Record Type Indicators (A, C, R, T)
- “Request”: Loan Request (Reprints, Terminates and pre-guarantee corrections) and/or Post-Guarantee Change Requests
- “Application”: Loan Requests only
- “Change”: Change Requests only



CR:C Loan Request Process

Some Additional Features:

- Disbursement Day Override Indicator
- Ability to pass Credit Status data
- Minimal data for Pre-guarantee Corrections, Reprint and Terminates
- Disbursement Amounts can be passed for Stafford and PLUS requests



CR:C Change Request Process

New Business Process - New Structure

- Results oriented process
- Multiple updates with one record - no more @1-05, @1-07, etc.
- Only changed data elements need to be sent
- It is up to the recipient to determine the intent of the request.
- Only one change per element per student may be requested in each document.
- Intended to be easier for SIS and FAMS providers to design and program the change processes



CR:C Response Process

Same Business Process - New Structure and Formats

- Modified Error Codes to be more COD like
- Response format override capability - `<FullResponseCode>`
- Responses are associated to each individual block of the request document - not to the record
- Service provider may accept one block of the student's loan request, while rejecting other sections
- Unlimited error codes



CR:C Response Formats

There are 3 Response Formats now available

- Snapshot: An image of the student and loan data on the service provider's system at the time the response is created plus response data
- Full: Data tags and values sent in the original change request plus response data
- Standard: Response data only
 - Response Data includes:
 - Processing Status of the request
 - Any errors identified during processing of the request

CR:C Process: Disbursement

Same Business Process - New Structure

- Separate Document Types for Disbursement Roster, Forecasts and Acknowledgements
- Disbursement Acknowledgement contains response data and has it's own schema



Progress Report

- Collaboration continues to move us forward
- Schools, The College Board, Datatel, Oracle, PeopleSoft, SCT Corp., and Sigma Systems have all indicated their support of the new CR:C standard
- Lenders, guarantors, and servicers have also indicated their support and intent to implement the new standard



Documentation

- Implementation Guide development has proceeded at an accelerated pace
 - “Final” documentation published – July 2003
 - Review and updates to documentation - On-going
- Documentation Includes:
 - Implementation Guide
 - Core Components Data Dictionary
 - Schemas
 - Instance Documents

Documentation

- The Implementation Guide includes:
 - Business rules
 - Data definitions and valid values
 - XML Document Element Layouts
 - XML standards information
 - Glossary
 - Data Crosswalk documents
 - Error Codes



Next Steps for FFELP

- Fine tune and finalize schema development
- Fine tune and update the documentation - version 1.03
- Review and resolve reported issues and questions
- Provide ongoing training, education, and outreach
- Encourage FFELP community transition to the new standard



Training

- CR:C Training Sessions provide a detailed orientation and introduction to the CR:C standards
 - Potential future dates:
 - July - Portland
 - October/November - TBD
- Training sessions are two full days each
- Session feedback has been very positive



CR:C Testing Tool

- Verifies format, content and provide cross field validation
- Used to provide common validation of the interpretation and programming of the Implementation Guide and to certify participants
 - Loan Request - February 04
 - Response - Spring 04
 - School Certification - Spring 04
 - Disbursement - Summer 04
 - Change - Fall 04



Data Transport Standards

- The ESC has initiated a collaborative effort with software providers, FSA, ELM, lenders, guarantors, and servicers to identify standard transport tools and protocols that can be employed as a standard across higher education for the batch and real-time electronic exchange of data.
 - Particularly important because of the large data payloads resulting from the use of XML data structure



Implementation Schedule - FAMS Vendors

- The Electronic Standards Committee has been in close touch with College Board, Datatel, PeopleSoft, Oracle, SCT, Sigma for their plans.
- All are in various stages of analysis and are forecasting production implementations between Fall '04 and Spring '05. Sigma is looking for testing partners in Summer '04.



Implementation Schedule - Service Providers

- Most lenders, guarantors, and servicers are planning schedules parallel to the vendor timelines.
- Most are too early in analysis to determine if their implementation strategy will be all-in or phase-in.
- If phase-in, most would implement in lifecycle sequence



What this means for Schools

- Schools with a FAMS system
 - Stay in touch with your vendor for updates on their implementation plans and your options.
 - Encourage your vendors to continue their development of CR:C functions
- Schools that do their own programming
 - Access all of the documentation available online for your IT staff
 - Attend training



What this means for Service Providers

- Service providers will continue to support their current versions of CommonLine flat files
- Upgrade systems to support the CR:C XML process by:
 - Developing a CR:C XML system
 - Buying a system that supports CR:C
 - Translating XML to flat file and back
 - Data Crosswalks provided for translating



Information Sources

- NCHELP - The CR:C Implementation Guide is available at www.nchelp.org
- IFAP – COD news, technical documentation, updates, etc. at www.ifap.ed.gov
- PESC – XML Technical Specifications, Data Dictionaries, Schemas, assistance and approvals, etc. at www.standardscouncil.org



Technical Assistance

We appreciate your feedback and comments. We can be reached:

Kim Shiflette

Phone: 317 806-1212

Fax: 317 806-1203

Email: Kshiflet@usafunds.org

Bob King

585 248-7140

585 248-7515

robert.l.king@citigroup.com